

# Data Stream Algorithms.

## Basic definitions.

- Stream:  $m$  elements from universe  $\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

E.g.: consider  $[1000]$

$$\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\} = 3, 5, 7, 100, \dots$$

- Goal: Compute a function of stream,

E.g. Median, number of distinct elements, longest increasing sequence.

- But:
  - limited working memory, (usually sublinear in  $n$  and  $m$ , i.e.  $O(\log n)$  or  $O(\log m)$ ).
  - access data sequentially.
  - process quickly.

Why do we care?

Faster network, cheaper data storage, ...

- \* Sampling: a general technique to tackle massive amounts of data.

E.g. we have a large list of all queries made to a search engine, and we want to measure how many queries contain the word "~~iPhone XS~~". Easy! just count them?!! But we can actually do it faster.  $\Rightarrow$  sampling.

- Problem: Given a large set of  $N$  elements  $U$ , ( $|U| = N$ ), select a subset of elements  $\hat{U}$  ( $|\hat{U}| \leq n$ ) such that from  $\hat{U}$  the size of any subset  $S \subseteq U$  can be estimated.
- Sampling approach: Pick each element from  $U$  independently into set  $\hat{U}$  with probability  $p = \frac{n}{N}$ .

Let the variable  $X_i$  be 1 if element  $i$  is picked and 0 otherwise.

The number of picked elements is  $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and its expectation is

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}[X_i] = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{n}{N} = n.$$

Let  $\hat{S}$  be the set of the intersection of  $S$  and  $\hat{U}$ .

$$\hat{S} = S \cap \hat{U}$$

Let  $s_i$  be 1 if  $i \in S$  and 0 otherwise indicator function.

Let  $Z = \frac{N}{n} |\hat{S}|$  be our estimator of  $|S|$ .

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \mathbb{E}\left[\frac{N}{n} |\hat{S}|\right] = \frac{N}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i s_i\right] = \frac{N}{n} \mathbb{E}\left[\sum_{i=1}^n X_i\right] = |S|$$

$i \in \hat{S}$  if and only if  $X_i s_i = 1$ .

The question:

Question: How close is  $Z$  to  $|S|$ ?

Chernoff's bound would help!

Lemma (Chernoff bound): Let  $X_1, \dots, X_n$  be independent Bernoulli random variables  $\Pr(X_i = 1) = p_i$  and  $\Pr(X_i = 0) = 1 - p_i$ . Let  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  and  $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X] = \sum_{i=1}^n p_i$ . Then, for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$\Pr(X > (1+\varepsilon)\mu) \leq e^{-\mu\varepsilon^2/4}$$

$$\Pr(X < (1-\varepsilon)\mu) \leq e^{-\mu\varepsilon^2/2}.$$

Recall that in our problem, we want to know how to go over the elements of  $S$  and count how many of them were sampled into  $\hat{U}$ , and that

$$\frac{n}{N} Z = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \Delta_i = \sum_{j \in S} X_j$$

$$\text{and } \frac{n}{N} \mathbb{E}[Z] = |S|$$

Applying Chernoff:

$$\begin{cases} \Pr(Z > (1+\varepsilon)|S|) \leq e^{-|S|\varepsilon^2/4N} \\ \Pr(Z < (1-\varepsilon)|S|) \leq e^{-|S|\varepsilon^2/2N} \end{cases}$$

union bound

$$\Rightarrow \Pr(|Z - |S|| > \varepsilon|S|) \leq 2e^{-|S|\varepsilon^2/2N}$$



what does it mean?

For example, if  $|S|$  is of the size  $10^5 N$  and we want to have a 10% accuracy with probability at least 0.99, we must keep a sample of roughly  $10^8$  elements, regardless of  $N$ .

think of  $N$  as really big number, it's still small!

## \* Frequency moments of Data stream:

Given a data stream  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  of length  $n$ , where each  $a_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\} =: [m]$ . The frequency of  $i \in [m]$  in the stream is  $f_i = |\{j \mid a_j = i\}|$ .

The vector  $\vec{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$  is called the frequency vector.

For  $p \geq 0$ , The  $p$ th frequency moment of the input is defined as follows:

$$F_p = \begin{cases} \left| \{i \mid f_i \neq 0\} \right| & \text{if } p = 0 \\ \max_i f_i & \text{if } p = \infty \\ \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^p & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

number of distinct symbols occurring in the stream

- For  $p = 1$ , the first frequency moment is just  $n$ , the length of the string.
- For  $p = 2$ , the second frequency moment is useful in computing the variance of the stream:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left( f_i - \frac{n}{m} \right)^2 &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \left( f_i^2 - 2f_i \frac{n}{m} + \frac{n^2}{m^2} \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2 \right) - \frac{n^2}{m^2}. \end{aligned}$$

- For  $p = \infty$ ,  $F_\infty$  is the frequency of the most frequent element.

\* The uniform distribution:

A r.v.  $X$  assumes values in the interval  $[a, b]$  such that all subintervals of equal length have equal probability, we say that  $X$  has the uniform distribution over  $[a, b]$ .

The probability distribution function of  $X$  is

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq a \\ \frac{x-a}{b-a} & \text{if } a \leq x \leq b \\ 1 & \text{if } x \geq b. \end{cases}$$

and its density function is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x < a \\ \frac{1}{b-a} & \text{if } a \leq x \leq b \\ 0 & \text{if } x > b \end{cases}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X] = \int_a^b \frac{x}{b-a} dx = \frac{b^2 - a^2}{2(b-a)} = \frac{b+a}{2}.$$

$$\mathbb{E}[X^2] = \frac{(Exercise)}{\dots} = \frac{b^2 + ab + a^2}{3}$$

$$\text{Var}[X] = ?$$

Lemma: Let  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k$  be independent random variables over  $[0, 1]$ . Let  $Y = \min(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k)$ .

$$\text{Then } \mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

$$\mathbb{P}(Y \geq y) = \mathbb{P}(\min(X_1, \dots, X_k) \geq y)$$

$$= \mathbb{P}(\{X_1 \geq y\} \cap \{X_2 \geq y\} \cap \dots \cap \{X_k \geq y\})$$

$X_i$ 's are independent  $\leftarrow$   $\prod_{i=1}^k \mathbb{P}(X_i \geq y)$

$$= (1-y)^k$$

$$\therefore \mathbb{P}(Y \leq y) = 1 - (1-y)^k$$

$$F(y) = 1 - (1-y)^k$$

density function of  $y$  is  $f(y) = s(y) = k(1-y)^{k-1}$ .

$$\Rightarrow E[Y] = \int_0^1 ky(1-y)^{k-1} dy = y(1-y)^k \Big|_0^1 + \int_0^1 (1-y)^k dy$$

Integration by parts

$$u=y \quad du = k(1-y)^{k-1}$$

$$du = dy \quad v = -(1-y)^k$$

$$= 0 + \int_0^1 (1-y)^k dy.$$

$$= - \frac{(1-y)^{k+1}}{k+1} \Big|_0^1$$

$$= \frac{1}{k+1}$$

\* Estimating  $F_o$ . = Counting distinct elements.

[1] Noga Alon, Yossi Matias, and Mario Szegedy.

The space complexity of approximating the frequency moments. STOC '96.

[2] Edith Cohen.

Size-estimation framework with applications to transitive closure and reachability. '97.

Idea: use a (hash) function  $h: \overset{\text{universe}}{[m]} \rightarrow [0, 1]$

We hash each entry  $a_i$  of the data as we see it, and keep track of the minimum seen hash value in our memory. Suppose in  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$ , there are  $k$  distinct elements

$\otimes x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k$

$$\text{Let } Y = \min(h(a_1), h(a_2), \dots, h(a_n)).$$

Suppose that the values  $h(a_1), \dots, h(a_n)$  are independently distributed <sup>uniform</sup> r.v. over the interval  $[0, 1]$ .

⇒ From the previous lemma:

$$\cancel{\mathbb{E}[Y]} = \frac{1}{n+1}$$

$$\mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{k+1}$$

Recall that we want to estimate  $k$ , so  $Y$  may be used to estimate it.

⇒ Can use Chebyshov's inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Y^2] &= \int_0^1 y^2 k(1-y)^{k-1} dy \\ &= \dots = ? \leq \frac{2}{(k+1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

(Exercise!)

$$\Rightarrow \text{Var}[Y] = \mathbb{E}[Y^2] - \mathbb{E}[Y]^2 \leq \frac{1}{(k+1)^2} = \mathbb{E}[Y]^2.$$

Chebyshov's inequality:

$$P(|Y - \mathbb{E}[Y]| > \varepsilon \mathbb{E}[Y]) \leq \frac{\text{Var}[Y]}{\varepsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[Y]^2} \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}$$

which is a useless bound for small  $\varepsilon$ .

To improve, we take a mean of estimators.

Consider multiple independent versions  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_t$  of  $Y$ .

$Y_1$  has corresponding hash function  $h_1$

$Y_2$   $h_2$

$\vdots$

$Y_t$   $h_t$

And let  $Z = \frac{Y_1 + Y_2 + \dots + Y_t}{t}$  as our new estimator.

$$\mathbb{E}[Z] = \mathbb{E}[Y] = \frac{1}{k+1}.$$

Since  $Y_1, \dots, Y_t$  are independent,

$$\mathbb{E}[\text{Var}[Z]] = \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{i=1}^t \text{Var}[Y_i] = \frac{\text{Var}[Y]}{t} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[Y]^2}{t}$$

$$\therefore \text{Var}[Z] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[Z]^2}{t}$$

Applying Chebyshov's ineq:

$$P(|Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]| \geq \epsilon \mathbb{E}[Z]) \leq \frac{\text{Var}[Z]}{\epsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[Z]^2} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2 t}.$$

This means that by increasing  $t$ , we can reduce the probability of bad event  $\{|Z - \mathbb{E}[Z]| \geq \epsilon \mathbb{E}[Z]\}$ .

Setting  $t = \frac{10}{\epsilon^2}$ , we can bound the probability of failure by  $\frac{1}{10}$ .

\* Estimating the second moment  $F_2$ .

$$\text{Recall } F_2 = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2$$

Goal: Estimate  $F_2$ .

Consider a hash function  $h: [m] \rightarrow \{-1, 1\}$ .

For each symbol  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq m$ ,

independently set a random variable  $X_i$  such that

$$P(X_i = 1) = P(X_i = -1) = 1/2$$

then consider

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i f_i \quad \text{and} \quad V = \left( \sum_{i=1}^m X_i f_i \right)^2$$

$$\text{Fact: } E[V] = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2$$

pf: Note that

$$\left( \sum_{i=1}^m X_i f_i \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^m X_i^2 f_i^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} X_i X_j f_i f_j$$

$$\Rightarrow E[V] = E\left(\sum_{i=1}^m X_i^2 f_i^2\right) + 2 E\left(\sum_{i \neq j} X_i X_j f_i f_j\right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^m E[X_i^2 f_i^2] + 2 \sum_{i \neq j} E[X_i X_j f_i f_j]$$

$$E[X_i X_j f_i f_j] \xleftarrow{=} \sum_{i=1}^m f_i^2 + 0.$$

$$= E[X_i] E[X_j] f_i f_j \text{ since } X_i, X_j \text{ independent for } i \neq j.$$

$$= 0.$$

$\Rightarrow V$  is an estimator of  $F_2$ .

We can show that (see [BHK] p. 190).

$$\mathbb{E}[V^2] \leq 3\mathbb{E}[V]^2$$

~~$\mathbb{E}[V]$~~

$$\therefore \text{Var}[V] = \mathbb{E}[V^2] - \mathbb{E}[V]^2 \leq 2\mathbb{E}[V]^2.$$

By Chebychev's inequality:

$$P(|V - \mathbb{E}[V]| \geq \epsilon \mathbb{E}[V]) \leq \frac{\text{Var}[V]}{\epsilon^2 \mathbb{E}[V]^2} \leq \frac{2}{\epsilon^2}.$$

Not a good bound for  $\epsilon$  small.

→ we can consider multiple independent version of  $V$ :  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_s$ . and so let  $Y = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{i=1}^s V_i$ .

$$\text{then } P(|Y - F_2| \geq \epsilon F_2) \leq \delta$$

$$\text{if } s \geq \frac{2}{\epsilon^2 \delta}.$$

Alon-Matias-Szegedy was able to construct  $Y$  and  $V$  using  $O(\log m)$  space. (See [BHK] p. 190).